

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,923	06/19/2003	Eric B. Hochberg	ASRC-1	1872
1054 75	590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	ACHNER, A PROFI	COCKS, JOSIAH C		
CORPORATIO	= :		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E				I AI EK NOMBEK
IRVINE, CA	92614		3749	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,923	HOCHBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Josiah Cocks	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.				
,					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 26 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	*			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) dobjected or b) dobjected or b) objected or b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of applicant's amendment filed 10/4/2004 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 10/04/2004. These drawings are not approved by the examiner. The changes to the actual content of the drawings is acceptable, however, applicant has not included the label "Replacement Sheet" in the page header as required by 37 CFR 1.84(c). Applicant should submit a replacement sheet that is appropriately labeled. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,071,017 to Russell, JR. et al. ("Russell") (cited by applicant).

Russell discloses a solar energy concentrator as described in applicant's claims 18 and 20 including a parabolic trough having a reflector shaped by a plurality of tensioned string/wire pairs (59) extending along each trough (see Fig. 1), each pair having respective strings positioned

Application/Control Number: 10/601,923 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

on opposed surfaces of the reflector (see Fig. 13) and includes plastic sleeves (see col. 10, lines 1-16). The reflector is a membrane and is made of a Mylar film (see col. 10, line 5) and the strings are not regarded as putting significant tension on this film. In Russell, the trough formed by the plurality of strings/wires is continuous in the same manner as disclosed and claimed by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 19, 21-25, and 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell as applied to claims 18 and 20 above in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,365,920 to Lechner ("Lechner").

Russell discloses all the limitations of claims 19, 21-25, and 1-17 except for a transparent tubular housing enclosing the reflector wherein the housing includes endplates and is pressurized above external atmospheric pressure, and the means for rotating the housing to receive incident sunlight.

In regard to claims 10 and 24, OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken that carbon fiber is known material for metal string or wire. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select a material such as carbon fiber for the wire/string of Russell and is not regarded as patentably distinct.

Lechner teaches a solar energy concentrator in the same field of endeavor as Russell wherein the concentrator of Lechner includes a transparent tubular housing (1) with endplates (see Figs. 2a-2c) wherein the housing includes a gas that provides an internal pressure that is higher than atmospheric pressure (see col. 2, lines 15-21). Therefore, the examiner considers that the endplates are hermetically sealed to the housing. The examiner also considers that when *Russell* is modified to include the tubular housing of Lechner the elevated pressure would partially contribute to the tension of the strings/wires. Lechner also discloses means (11) for rotating the housing to track the position of the sun (see col. 3, lines 22-25).

Therefore, in regard to claims 19, 21-25, and 1-17, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solar concentrator of Russell to incorporate the housing of Lechner as this housing desirably follow

the path of the sun and yields a high efficiency (see Lechner, col. 3, lines 22-25 and col. 4, lines 39-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 26 and 27 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/2004 regarding claims 1-25 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that his invention is an improvement over the prior art exemplified by Russell. However, applicant does not present any arguments as to the structure appearing in applicant's claims is not present in Russell or Russell in view of Lechner. As noted in the claim rejections above, the examiner considers that all of the structural limitations of claims 1-25 are present in the prior art.

In regard to claims 26 and 27, applicant's arguments as to how these claims are distinct from the prior art are persuasive. These claims have bee indicated to be allowable.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/601,923

Art Unit: 3749

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 6

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4874. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Any questions on access to the Private

PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197

(toll-free).

icc

December 20, 2004

JOSIAH COCKS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3749